



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,152	09/750,152 12/29/2000		Hidefumi Ohsawa	35.C15035	6535
5514	7590	04/22/2005		EXAM	INER
		LA HARPER & S	DASTOURI, MEHRDAD		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2623	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/750,152	OHSAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mehrdad Dastouri	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty to period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this_communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>12 November 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)[This action is non-final						
,— ,,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers	•						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	*						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doce 2. Certified copies of the priority doce 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in App ne priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment filed November 12, 2004, has been entered and made of record.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 7, 8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endoh et al (U.S. 5,819,103) in view of Covell et al (U.S. 6,188,776).

Regarding Claim 1, Endoh et al disclose an image processing apparatus, comprising:

an input unit, arranged to input successive image data (Figure 1, Terminal 6; Column 7, Lines 25-28);

a first detection unit, arranged to detect a change in the successive image data (Column 9, Lines 6-42; Column 30, Lines 15-33; Column 32, Lines 6-34; Figures 2, 3, 29 and 30);

Art Unit: 2623

a scene detection unit, arranged to detect a scene change in the successive image data (Column 32, Lines 20-29; Figures 2, 3, 29 and 30);

a generation unit, arranged to generate initial contour information for extracting an object existing in the image data, in accordance with an outputs of said first detection unit and said scene change detection unit (Figures 2, 3, 29 and 30; Column 32, Lines 6-29); and

an extraction unit, arranged to extract object image data corresponding to the object on the basis of the initial contour information generated by said generation unit (Figures 2, 3 and 27-30; Column 32, Lines 20-50).

Endoh et al do not explicitly disclose extracting an object in accordance with a color of the image data.

Covell et al, in the same field of endeavor of recognizing the object in video images, disclose a feature-based object recognition utilizing color, saturation or hue of an image as one of the attributes of the feature vector generated for extracting process (Column 7, Lines 19-40).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Endoh et al's invention in accordance with the teachings of Covell et al to extract an object in accordance with a color of the image data because it will provide more accurate image segmentation and will improve the accuracy and reliability of the object extraction and recognition.

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 2, Covell et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to Claim 1, further comprising a coding unit that encodes the object image data extracted by said extraction unit (Column 3, Lines 4-13; Column 23, Lines 14-20).

Regarding Claim 3, Endoh et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to Claim 2, further comprising a transmission unit that transmits the image data encoded by said coding unit (Column 9, Lines 34-42).

Regarding Claim 7, Covell et al disclose a video image analysis system comprising a first area-division unit that performs area division based on color (Column 3, Lines 4-63), and a second area-division unit that performs area division based on motion of image data (Column 2, Lines 38-59). The unit of area division processing based on color is conventionally greater than that of area division processing motion detection.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Endoh al invention according to the teachings of Covell et al to implement further limitations of Claim 7 because it is a conventional methodology routinely implemented in the art that will increase the accuracy of the system and will provide enhanced contour generation and object extraction.

Regarding Claim 8, Endoh et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to Claim 7, further comprising a display unit that displays image data input by said input unit, wherein said display unit can display an extraction result of said extraction unit so as to visually check the extraction result (Figure 2; Column 8, Lines 41-58).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 10, a recording unit that records image data encoded by said coding unit on a recording medium is inherently incorporated in all coding systems.

With regards to Claims 11 and 12, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 1 are applicable to Claims 11 and 12.

5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endoh et al (U.S. 5,819,103) further in view of Covell et al (U.S. 6,188,776) and Drummond et al (Real-Time tracking of Complex Structures with On-Line Camera Calibration).

Regarding Claim 4, Endoh et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the image data input by said input unit include data picked up by a video camera (Figure 1; Column 7, Lines 25-35).

Endoh et al and Covell et al do not specifically disclose the input unit inputs parameter data concerning a camera parameter of the video camera, and said scene change detection unit detects a change in image data based on the parameter data.

Drummond et al disclose a three-dimensional model-based tracking system comprising an input unit that inputs parameter data concerning a camera parameter of the video camera, and the detection unit that detects a change between the image frames data based on the parameter data (Abstract; Section 1, Introduction; Section 4, On-Line Camera Calibration).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Endoh et al and Covell et al combination according to the teachings of Drummond et al to input parameter data concerning a camera parameter of

Art Unit: 2623

the video camera, and having the detection unit that detects a change between the successive image data based on the parameter data because it will increase the accuracy of the system and will provide enhanced contour generation and object extraction.

Regarding Claim 5, Combined Drummond et al and Endoh et al teachings disclose an image processing apparatus according to Claim 4, wherein the detection unit performs different detection processing in accordance with the parameter data (Abstract; Section 1, Introduction; Section 4, On-Line Camera Calibration).

Regarding Claim 6, Drummond et al further disclose an image processing apparatus according to claim 4, wherein said input unit includes the video camera (Section 1, Introduction).

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endoh et al (U.S. 5,719,951) further in view of Covell et al (U.S. 6,188,776) and Vetro et al (6,266,443).

Endoh et al and Covell et al do not explicitly disclose the coding technique utilized for coding the video image.

Vetro et al disclose an object boundary detection utilizing video coding standard MPEG-4 (ISO/IEC 14496) (Column 1, Lines 16-29).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Endoh al invention according to the teachings of Vetro et al to utilize video coding standard MPEG-4 (ISO/IEC 14496) because it is a well known methodology routinely implemented in video coding systems.

Art Unit: 2623

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehrdad Dastouri whose telephone number is (571) 272-7418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (571) 272-7414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEHRDAD DASTOURI PRMARY EXAMINER

Mehrdad Dastom

Mehrdad Dastouri Primary examiner Art Unit 2623 April 16, 2005